



## Rebecca Carroll<sup>1,2</sup> & Anna Warzybok<sup>1,3</sup>

 <sup>2</sup> Institute of Dutch Studies, Speech & Music Lab <sup>1</sup> Cluster of Excellence "Hearing4all", University of Oldenburg <sup>3</sup> Department of Medical Physics

## MOTIVATION

- **Vocabulary size** has been considered a useful measure of linguistic skills, and a **strong** predictor for speech intelligibility scores. Benard et al. (2014), for instance, found significant correlations of lexical knowledge (PPVT) and intelligibility scores of Dutch Versfeld sentences (Versfeld et al., 2000).
- One reason for such a correlation: A large lexicon requires more fine grained discriminatory knowledge, both semantic and phonetic.
- Word recognition and lexical access should be more efficient, and consequently faster.

# **RESULTS I: PPVT**



## **Research Questions:**

- Is vocabulary size and lexical knowledge equally correlated with speech intelligibility scores in different acoustical settings?
- I. Is word recognition time a sensible correlate for speech in noise scores?
- III. Can the correlations of vocabulary size and interrupted speech observed by **Benard** et al. (2014) be replicated in a German setting?

## METHOD

- Speech intelligibility scores using Göttingen Sentence Test (GÖSA; Kollmeier & Wesselkamp, 1997)
- Presentation via Earbox 3.0, RME Fireface UCX, Sennheiser HDA 200 circumaural headphones in sound attenuated booth
- 3 Tests of lexical knowledge

## Participants



## **RESULTS II: WST**



# **RESULTS III: WORD RECOGNITION TIME** (LexDec)



## **Acoustical Manipulations**

- **GÖnoise**: GÖSA sentences with original noise, -6 dB SNR
- GÖSA sentences with reverberation, **Reverb:** 4.1 sec reverberation time
- GÖSA sentences with reverberation **RevNoise**: (3.25 sec) and noise (7 dB SNR)
- **Interrupted:** GÖSA sentences with an interruption rate of 2.5 Hz
- SNR & reverberation times set to provide comparable SRTs, based on STI (0.3)
- Interruption rate as used by Benard et al. (2014)

Lexical Knowledge Tests



# **RESULTS IV: FREQUENCY EFFECT** (LexDec II)



**PPVT:** German version of Peabody Picture VocabularyTest (Buhlheller & Häcker, 2003)



WST: Standardized Vocabulary Test (Schmidt & Metzler, 1992)

Example: Tortur – Rutsur – Torastal – Turtos – Korut – Tektorb

- **Word Recognition Time:** Lexical Decision Test I (RT $\Delta$  Non-word Word)
- **Lexical Decision Test II:** Frequency effect: ( $RT\Delta$  Low freq. High freq. Words)

- Different acoustical **listening conditions vary** in their correlations with lexical knowledge
  - Correlations of GÖSA intelligibility scores with
    - **PPVT** but only for original **Gönoise condition**, NOT interrupted speech
    - WST but only weak, for Gönoise condition
  - Word Recognition Time (LexDec I) Positive (!!!) correlation with RevNoise condition, weaker correlation for **Gönoise** condition
- Word recognition time appears to depend on acoustic situation
- **No replication** of Benard et al.'s (2014) findings for interrupted speech in German
- Listeners are differentially affected by various acoustical conditions

### REFERENCES

Benard, M.R., Mensink, J.S., & Baskent, D. (2014). Individual differences of interrupted speech: Links to linguistic and cognitive abilities. J Acoust Soc Am Expr Letters, 135, EL88-EL94.

Buhlheller, S., & Häcker, H.O. (2003). Deutschsprachige Fassung des PPVT-III für Jugendliche und Erwachsene. [German version of the PPVT-III for adolescents and adults]. Frankfurt: Swets Test Services.

Kollmeier, B., & Wesselkamp, M. (1997). Development of a German sentence test for objective and subjective speech intelligibility assessment. J Acoust Soc Am, 120, 2412-2421.

Schmidt, K.-H., & Metzler, P. (1992). Wortschatztest (WST). Weinheim: Beltz Test GmbH.

Versfeld, N.J., Daalder, L., Festen, J.M., & Houtgast, T. (2000). Method for the selection of sentence materials for efficient measurement of the speech reception threshold. J Acoust Soc Am, 107, 1671-1684.